

## Middlebury's Demographics

### Population

In 2000, Middlebury's population of 6,451 comprised 1.8 percent of the NVC region. Middlebury's population, the third smallest in the NVC, grew by 4.98 percent (from 6,145 to 6,451) from 1990 to 2000. The NVC's population increased by 4.6 percent from 1990 to 2000. Connecticut's population grew by 3.6 percent during the 1990 to 2000.

### Population by Age

In 1990, adults from the ages of 25 to 44 made up 29 percent of the population in Middlebury and 33 percent in the NVC and the state. In the same year, people under the age of 18 constituted 22 percent of Middlebury's population and 23 percent of the population in the NVC and the state. In 1990, people between the ages of 45 to 64 constituted 24 percent of the population in Middlebury, 19 percent of the NVC's population, and 20 percent of Connecticut's population. The population over 65 comprised 16 percent of Middlebury's population, 15 percent of the population in the NVC, and 14 percent of the state's population in 1990. The percentage of the population between 18 and 24 was 8 percent in Middlebury, 10 percent in the NVC, and 11 percent in Connecticut in 1990.


In 2000, adults from the ages of 25 to 44 made up 25 percent of the population in Middlebury and 30 percent of the population in the NVC and the state. In the same year, people under the age of 18 constituted 25 percent of the population in Middlebury, the NVC, and the state. Also in 2000, people from the age of 45 to 64 made up 29 percent of Middlebury's population and 23 percent of the population in the NVC and Connecticut. The population over 65 comprised 17 percent of Middlebury's population, 15 percent of the population in the NVC, and 14 percent of the state's population in 2000. The percentage of the population between 18 and 24 was 4 percent in Middlebury, 7 percent in the NVC, and 8 percent in Connecticut in 2000.

Middlebury has the second *highest* percentage of persons between the ages of 45 and 64 in the NVC in 2000. Bethlehem possessed the largest percentage of persons between the ages of 45 and 64 in the NVC in 2000. By contrast, Middlebury had the second *lowest* percentage of residents between the ages of 18 to 24 and 25 to 44 in the NVC in 2000. Southbury possessed the lowest percentage of residents between 18 and 24 and 25 and 44 in the NVC in 2000. Middlebury had the third highest percentage of percentage of residents over 65 in the NVC in 2000. Southbury and Derby had the highest percentages of citizens older than 65 in the NVC in 2000. Middlebury also possessed the seventh lowest percentage of residents below 18 years of age in the NVC in 2000.

### Racial and Ethnic Populations


In 2000, African Americans constituted .4 percent of Middlebury's population whereas they comprise 6.5 percent of the NVC and 9. percent of the state's population. In 2000,

# Distribution of Age Cohorts for Middlebury, 2000



Source: 2000 Census

# Age Cohorts for Middlebury, 2000



Source: 2000 Census

Latinos made up 1.2 percent of Middlebury's population, 9.1 percent of the NVC's citizenry, and 9 percent of the population in the state. Non-white residents comprise 2.9 percent of Middlebury's population, 14.3 percent of the NVC's citizenry, and 18.4 percent of the population in Connecticut. Whites comprise 97.1 percent of the population in Middlebury and the NVC and 82 percent of the population in Connecticut.

In the NVC, Middlebury had the second lowest percentage of Latinos in its population in 2000. Bethlehem possessed the lowest percentage of Latino citizens in the NVC. Middlebury also had the sixth lowest percentage of non-white residents in the NVC in 2000. Thomaston possessed the lowest percentage of non-white residents in the NVC in 2000.

### **Change in Racial and Ethnic Populations**

During the period from 1990 to 2000, the percentage of African Americans in the population increased by 21 percent in Middlebury (from 19 to 23) whereas it grew by 23.3 percent in the NVC and 13 percent in Connecticut. .

Relatively speaking, the Latino population did not significantly increase in Middlebury in the last ten years. The Latino population increased by 68 percent in Middlebury (from 47 to 79 Latinos) whereas it increased by 64 percent in the NVC, and 50 percent in Connecticut from 1990 to 2000. Middlebury experienced the ninth largest percent growth in Latino population in the NVC during the last ten years.

### **Educational Attainment in Middlebury**


The following categories measure the highest degree achieved by a person. For example, the figure that 18 percent of the citizens in Connecticut graduated from college means that a bachelor's degree is the highest level of education attained by 18 percent of Connecticut residents.

In 2000, 21 percent of people in Middlebury over the age of 25 earned a college degree as their highest form of education whereas 15 percent of the residents in the NVC and 18 percent of citizens in the state graduated from college and did not pursue their education beyond this level.

In the same year, 28 percent of Middlebury's population over the age of 25 attended college for a period of time without receiving a bachelor's degree and 26 percent of the citizens in the NVC and 24 percent of Connecticut residents went to college without obtaining a degree.


In 2000, 13 percent of residents in Connecticut held a professional degree, 10 percent of the NVC's citizens possessed a professional degree, and 20 percent of Middlebury's residents had a professional degree.

# Distribution of Race for Middlebury, 2000



Source: 2000 Census

# Percentage Point Difference in Race Cohorts from Connecticut for Middlebury, 2000



Source: 2000 Census

Less than 25 percent of residents in Middlebury did not pursue any further education after receiving a high school diploma. By contrast, 31 percent of NVC residents and 29 percent of the citizens in Connecticut did not attend school after they received their high school diplomas.

Less than 10 percent of the residents in Middlebury failed to receive a graduate equivalency diploma (GED) whereas 18 percent of NVC residents and 16 percent of Connecticut's citizens did not receive a GED as their highest degree of formal education.

*The following statistics examine the percentage of residents who graduated from college.*

Within the NVC, Middlebury has the fourth largest percentage of residents over the age of 25 who received *at least* a college degree. It ranks ahead of the state and the NVC for percentage of residents over 25 who received a college degree. Cheshire has the highest percentage of college graduates among NVC towns. Waterbury possesses the lowest percentage of citizens who *at least* received a college degree in the NVC.

The percentage of residents who possessed *at least* a college degree increased by slightly more than 30 percent in Middlebury during the period from 1990 to 2000. Middlebury experienced the eighth greatest percent gain in college graduates among NVC towns during this period. It ranks ahead of the NVC and the state for percent increase in residents with a college degree. The percentage of college graduates decreased in Waterbury over the last ten years whereas the percentage of college graduates grew by nearly 70 percent in Bethlehem.

### **Poverty in Middlebury**

In 1999, 2.7 percent of Middlebury's population lived below the poverty line. In the same year, 7.9 percent of Connecticut's residents and 7.7 percent of the people within the NVC lived in poverty. Middlebury possessed the sixth smallest percentage of people in poverty within the NVC in 1999. Prospect and Oxford had the smallest percentage of residents living in poverty in the NVC in 1999. Waterbury and Derby had the highest percentage of residents living in poverty in the NVC in 1999.

In total, 174 of Middlebury's residents live in poverty. Middlebury possessed the third smallest number of residents living in poverty in the NVC in 1999. Bethlehem and Prospect tied for the lowest number of people living in poverty among NVC cities and towns in 1999. Waterbury and Naugatuck possessed the highest number of residents living in poverty within the NVC in 1999.

### **Increases in Poverty in Middlebury, 1989-1999**

The population living in poverty in Middlebury decreased by 18 percent (from 213 to 174) from 1989 to 1999. Over the same period, the poverty population increased by 30 percent in the NVC and 19 percent in the state. Middlebury experienced the fourth largest percent decrease in people living in poverty within the NVC from 1989 to 1999.

During this ten-year period, five NVC towns (Bethlehem, Prospect, Watertown, Middlebury, Seymour) experienced a decrease in the number of residents living in poverty. Beacon Falls, Southbury, and Thomaston possessed the highest percent increases in poverty population in the NVC from 1989 to 1999.

Middlebury's poverty population decreased by 39 residents from 1989 to 1999. Middlebury experienced the fifth largest decrease in the number of residents living in poverty within the NVC towns from 1989 to 1999. Within the NVC, Waterbury and Naugatuck's poverty populations grew by the largest number of people whereas Watertown and Seymour's poverty populations decreased by the largest number of residents from 1989 to 1999.

## **Income in Middlebury**

### **Median Household Income**

People in Middlebury earn significantly more than those in the state.<sup>1</sup> The median household in Middlebury in 1999 was \$70,469, which was much more than the median household income in Connecticut (\$53,935). In 1999, Middlebury had the third highest median household income in the NVC. Median family income was lowest in Waterbury (\$34,285) and highest in Cheshire (\$80,466) within the NVC in 1999.

Over time, the median income of households in Middlebury increased at a faster rate than the increase in median household income in Connecticut. The median household income increased by 42 percent in Middlebury from 1989 to 1999 whereas it increased in Connecticut by 29 percent. Middlebury experienced the second highest percent increase in median household income in the NVC from 1989 to 1999. Waterbury had the lowest percent increase in median household income (12%) and Bethlehem experienced the highest percent increase (43%).

The annual average increase in median household income grew at a faster pace in Middlebury than it did in Connecticut. The annual average growth rate in median household income was 3.59 percent in Middlebury and 2.60 percent in Connecticut. Middlebury had the second highest annual average increase in median household income in the NVC from 1989 to 1999. Waterbury had the lowest average annual increase (1.17%) whereas Bethlehem experienced the highest average annual increase in median household income (3.63%).


The median household income in Middlebury increased by \$20,945 from 1989 to 1999 and it increased by \$12,214 in the state during this ten-year period. Middlebury had the third highest increase in median household income in the NVC from 1989 to 1999. Waterbury's median household income increased by the fewest dollars (\$3,752) whereas

---

<sup>1</sup> The median household income for the NVC is unavailable. "The median calculations for the NVC could only be calculated by the Census Bureau because the calculation would need every household's income in the NVC for this calculation." We decided against calculating the average incomes for the households in the NVC because these incomes would be badly skewed in some of the smaller towns.




# Unemployment Rates for Connecticut, NVC, and Middlebury, 1990-2001



Source: Connecticut Department of Labor

# Unemployment Rates For Connecticut, NVC, and Middlebury, Selected Years



Oxford experienced the largest absolute increase in median household income (\$22,678) among NVC towns from 1989 to 1999.

Middlebury's ranking for median household income among NVC towns increased from 1989 to 1999. Middlebury ranked fifth out of the 17 NVC towns for median household income in 1989 whereas it ranked third for this category in 1999.

### **Unemployment and Labor Force Characteristics in Middlebury**

In 2001, the unemployment rate was 2.73 in Middlebury, 4.21 in the NVC, and 3.28 in Connecticut. In that year, Middlebury possessed the fourth lowest unemployment rate among NVC towns. Waterbury had the highest unemployment rate (6.02) whereas Cheshire possessed the lowest unemployment rate (2.19).

In 2001, Middlebury possessed slightly less than 2 percent of the labor force in the NVC. Its total labor force of 3,300 was the third smallest in the NVC in 2001. Waterbury maintained the highest share of the NVC's labor force at 29 percent whereas Bethlehem only possessed slightly more than 1 percent of the NVC's labor force in 2001.

Middlebury maintained slightly more than 1 percent of the unemployed labor force in the NVC in 2001. Middlebury had the second smallest share of the NVC's total number of unemployed in 2001. Waterbury possessed the largest share of unemployed (41%) whereas Bethlehem possessed the smallest share (.64%) of the NVC's unemployed in 2001.

### **Changes in Labor Force and Unemployment Rates Over Time**

Middlebury's labor force declined by more than 7 percent from 1990 to 2001 whereas the labor force in the NVC and the state decreased by 6.7 percent and 6.3 percent, respectively. In 1990, Middlebury's labor force was 3,552 whereas it was 3,300 in 2001. In total, Middlebury lost 252 workers from 1990 to 2001.

From 1990 to 2001, Middlebury's unemployment rate was highest in 1992 when it reached 5.88. The highest unemployment rates for the NVC and the state over the last 11 years were 9.03 for the NVC and 7.63 for Connecticut. These high unemployment rates also occurred in 1992. Middlebury's low for unemployment rate was 1.94 in 2000. The lows for the NVC and the state were 2.68 and 2.25, respectively. These low unemployment rates also took place in 2000.

Middlebury's unemployment rate increased by 1.57 percentage points from 1990 to 1992. The unemployment rates grew by 2.82 percentage points in the NVC and 2.47 percentage points in the state from 1990 to 1992. Middlebury's unemployment rate decreased by 3.94 percentage points from 1992 to 2000. The unemployment rates decreased by 6.35 percentage points in the NVC and 5.37 percentage points in the state from 1992 to 2000. Middlebury's unemployment rate declined by 1.58 percentage points from 1990 to 2001.

The unemployment rates decreased by 1.99 percentage points in the NVC and 1.87 percentage points in the state from 1990 to 2001.

Middlebury's unemployment rate increased at the second smallest pace among NVC towns from 1990 to 1992. In the same period, the unemployment rate increased at the fastest pace in Thomaston whereas it grew at the slowest pace in Cheshire. Middlebury's unemployment rate decreased at the second slowest pace among NVC towns from 1992 to 2000. During the same period, the unemployment rate decreased at the fastest pace in Waterbury whereas it declined at the slowest pace in Cheshire. Middlebury experienced the fifth smallest decrease in unemployment rate among NVC towns from 1990 to 2000. In the same period, the unemployment rate decreased at the fastest pace in Wolcott whereas it decreased at the slowest pace in Southbury.

### Housing Stock in Middlebury

In 1997, Middlebury possessed 2,493 housing units. Of the 2,493 homes in Middlebury, 95 percent were single-family homes, 2 percent were two-family homes, 2 percent were three-plus family units, and 1 percent was mobile homes or other. In 1997, of the 142,647 housing units in the NVC, 62 percent were single-family homes, 10 percent were two-family homes, 26 percent were three-plus family units, and 2 percent were mobile homes or other. In addition, of the 1,374,566 housing units in Connecticut, 63 percent were single-family homes, 9 percent were two-family homes, 26 percent were three-plus family units, and 2 percent were mobile homes or other.

Housing Stock, 1997					
	Total	Single Family	Two Family	Three or More Family	Mobile Homes or Other
Connecticut	1,374,566	864,655	121,473	358,667	29,771
NVC	142,647	88,716	14,102	36,983	2,846
Ansonia	7,705	3,582	2,268	1,775	80
Beacon Falls	2,143	1,514	134	314	181
Bethlehem	1,356	1,208	65	48	35
Cheshire	9,379	8,035	202	1,042	100
Derby	5,398	2,574	1,170	1,536	118
Middlebury	2,493	2,366	54	56	17
Naugatuck	12,395	7,295	1,538	3,081	481
Oxford	3,282	3,166	69	20	27
Prospect	2,908	2,658	48	20	182
Seymour	6,206	4,173	680	1,301	52
Shelton	14,135	10,957	958	1,701	519
Southbury	7,353	6,017	353	804	179
Thomaston	2,907	1,994	291	549	73
Waterbury	47,649	18,984	5,295	22,721	649
Watertown	8,074	6,304	737	976	57
Wolcott	5,521	4,986	95	405	35
Woodbury	3,743	2,903	145	634	61

Source: CT Dept. of Economic and Community Development

Share of Housing Stock, 1997				
Town	Single Family	Two Family	Three or more Family	Mobile Homes or other
Connecticut	63%	9%	26%	2%
NVC	62%	10%	26%	2%
Ansonia	46%	29%	23%	1%
Beacon Falls	71%	6%	15%	8%
Bethlehem	89%	5%	4%	3%
Cheshire	86%	2%	11%	1%
Derby	48%	22%	28%	2%
Middlebury	95%	2%	2%	1%
Naugatuck	59%	12%	25%	4%
Oxford	96%	2%	1%	1%
Prospect	91%	2%	1%	6%
Seymour	67%	11%	21%	1%
Shelton	78%	7%	12%	4%
Southbury	82%	5%	11%	2%
Thomaston	69%	10%	19%	3%
Waterbury	40%	11%	48%	1%
Watertown	78%	9%	12%	1%
Wolcott	90%	2%	7%	1%
Woodbury	78%	4%	17%	2%

In 2000, Middlebury possessed 2,578 housing units. Of the 2,578 homes in Middlebury, 95 percent were single-family homes, 2 percent were two-family homes, 2 percent were three-plus family units, and 1 percent was mobile homes or other. In 2000, of the 145,617 housing units in the NVC, 63 percent were single-family homes, 10 percent were two-family homes, 25 percent were three-plus family units, and 2 percent were mobile homes or other. In addition, of the 1,399,819 housing units in Connecticut, 78 percent were single-family homes, 7 percent were two-family homes, 12 percent were three-plus family units, and 4 percent were mobile homes or other.

Housing Stock, 2000					
Town	Total	Single Family	Two Family	Three or more Family	Mobile Homes or Other
Connecticut	1,399,819	889,770	121,349	361,897	30,964
NVC	145,617	91,991	14,083	36,858	2,969
Ansonia	7,799	3,674	2,272	1,780	85
Beacon Falls	2,264	1,635	134	314	183
Bethlehem	1,410	1,260	67	48	35
Cheshire	9,638	8,262	202	1,078	103
Derby	5,496	2,676	1,170	1,536	118
Middlebury	2,578	2,454	54	56	19
Naugatuck	12,551	7,472	1,534	3,071	482
Oxford	3,536	3,422	69	20	31
Prospect	3,085	2,836	48	20	186
Seymour	6,340	4,310	686	1,301	52
Shelton	14,643	11,442	960	1,728	529
Southbury	7,792	6,456	353	804	180
Thomaston	3,072	2,160	291	549	73
Waterbury	47,536	19,191	5,267	22,538	732
Watertown	8,276	6,511	736	976	61
Wolcott	5,697	5,166	95	405	38
Woodbury	3,904	3,064	145	634	62

Source: CT Dept. of Economic and Community Development

Share of Housing Stock, 2000				
Town	Single Family	Two Family	Three or more Family	Mobile Homes or other
Connecticut	64%	9%	26%	2%
NVC	63%	10%	25%	2%
Ansonia	47%	29%	23%	1%
Beacon Falls	72%	6%	14%	8%
Bethlehem	89%	5%	3%	2%
Cheshire	86%	2%	11%	1%
Derby	49%	21%	28%	2%
Middlebury	95%	2%	2%	1%
Naugatuck	60%	12%	24%	4%
Oxford	97%	2%	1%	1%
Prospect	92%	2%	1%	6%
Seymour	68%	11%	21%	1%
Shelton	78%	7%	12%	4%
Southbury	83%	5%	10%	2%
Thomaston	70%	9%	18%	2%
Waterbury	40%	11%	47%	2%
Watertown	79%	9%	12%	1%
Wolcott	91%	2%	7%	1%
Woodbury	78%	4%	16%	2%

Change in Housing Stock, 1997-2000				
Town	Single Family	Two Family	Three or more Family	Mobile Homes or Other
Connecticut	0.7%	-0.2%	-0.2%	0.0%
NVC	1.0%	-0.2%	-0.6%	0.0%
Ansonia	0.6%	-0.3%	-0.2%	0.1%
Beacon Falls	1.6%	-0.3%	-0.8%	-0.4%
Bethlehem	0.3%	0.0%	-0.1%	-0.1%
Cheshire	0.1%	-0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Derby	1.0%	-0.4%	-0.5%	0.0%
Middlebury	0.3%	-0.1%	-0.1%	0.1%
Naugatuck	0.7%	-0.2%	-0.4%	0.0%
Oxford	0.3%	-0.2%	0.0%	0.1%
Prospect	0.5%	-0.1%	0.0%	-0.2%
Seymour	0.7%	-0.1%	-0.4%	0.0%
Shelton	0.6%	-0.2%	-0.2%	-0.1%
Southbury	1.0%	-0.3%	-0.6%	-0.1%
Thomaston	1.7%	-0.5%	-1.0%	-0.1%
Waterbury	0.5%	0.0%	-0.3%	0.2%
Watertown	0.6%	-0.2%	-0.3%	0.0%
Wolcott	0.4%	-0.1%	-0.2%	0.0%
Woodbury	0.9%	-0.2%	-0.7%	0.0%

From 1997 to 2000, the total number of housing units increased by 85 in Middlebury, 2,970 in the NVC, and 25,253 in Connecticut.

Change in Housing Stock, 1997 to 2000					
Town	Total	Single Family	Two Family	Three or more Family	Mobile Homes or other
Connecticut	25,253	25,115	-124	3,230	1,193
NVC	2,970	3,275	-19	-125	123
Ansonia	94	92	4	5	5
Beacon Falls	121	121	0	0	2
Bethlehem	54	52	2	0	0
Cheshire	259	227	0	36	3
Derby	98	102	0	0	0
Middlebury	85	88	0	0	2
Naugatuck	156	177	-4	-10	1
Oxford	254	256	0	0	4
Prospect	177	178	0	0	4
Seymour	134	137	6	0	0
Shelton	508	485	2	27	10
Southbury	439	439	0	0	1
Thomaston	165	166	0	0	0
Waterbury	-113	207	-28	-183	83
Watertown	202	207	-1	0	4
Wolcott	176	180	0	0	3
Woodbury	161	161	0	0	1
Source: CT Dept. of Community and Economic Development					



## New Housing Permits in Middlebury

In 1997, Middlebury issued 26 new housing permits whereas in 2000 it authorized 34 new housing permits. In 1997, Middlebury ranked 14<sup>th</sup> among NVC towns for the number of new housing permits. In 2000, it ranked 16<sup>th</sup> among NVC towns for the number of new housing permits.

New Permits Ranking, 1997 and 2000				
Town	New Permits, 1997		New Permits, 2000	
	Count	Rank	Count	Rank
Connecticut	9,349		9,311	
NVC	1,159		1,028	
Ansonia	16	16	38	15
Beacon Falls	25	15	48	10
Bethlehem	8	17	20	17
Cheshire	178	1	68	5
Derby	33	13	46	12
Middlebury	26	14	34	16
Naugatuck	44	10	68	5
Oxford	59	8	85	3
Prospect	55	9	47	11
Seymour	66	6	41	14
Shelton	168	2	121	1
Southbury	93	5	81	4
Thomaston	34	12	51	9
Waterbury	43	11	114	2
Watertown	114	4	59	8
Wolcott	132	3	64	7
Woodbury	65	7	43	13

## Average Price of a House in Middlebury

In 2000, the median price of all residential house sales in Middlebury was \$217,500.

House Sales for Latest Year Available								
	Date of House Sales	Median Price of All Residential House Sales	Total Residential Sales	Less than \$100,000	Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Between \$200,000 and \$300,000	Between \$300,000 and \$400,000	More than \$400,000
Ansonia	2000	\$128,250	251	51	184	16	0	0
Beacon Falls	2000	\$151,500	96	32	42	22	0	0
Bethlehem	1999	\$170,000	55	6	31	18	0	0
Cheshire	1999	\$170,625	503	43	223	145	64	28
Derby	2000	\$123,000	188	45	118	25	0	0
Middlebury	2000	\$217,500	104	4	43	36	13	8
Naugatuck	2000	\$118,500	466	155	293	18	0	0
Oxford	2000	\$230,000	134	6	43	61	21	3
Prospect	2000	\$180,000	144	10	74	50	10	0
Seymour	2000	\$140,000	212	48	124	30	10	0
Shelton	2000	\$205,000	765	89	280	235	97	64
Southbury	2000	\$185,000	546	103	188	109	79	67
Thomaston	1999	\$110,000	151	51	92	8	0	0
Waterbury	2000	\$87,000	1,315	882	421	12	0	0
Watertown	1999	\$123,825	319	58	208	49	4	0
Wolcott	2000	\$138,125	202	26	135	34	2	5
Woodbury	1999	\$176,000	194	61	43	55	25	10

Source: CT OPM

## Distribution of House Sales in Middlebury

In 2000, 4 percent of the houses sold in Middlebury cost less than \$100,000, 41 percent cost between \$100,000 and \$200,000, 35 percent cost between \$200,000 and \$300,000, 13 percent cost between \$300,000 and \$400,000 and 8 percent cost more than \$400,000.

Distribution of House Sales for Latest Year Available						
Town	Date of House Sales	Less than \$100,000	Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Between \$200,000 and \$300,000	Between \$300,000 and \$400,000	More than \$400,000
Ansonia	2000	20%	73%	6%	0%	0%
Beacon Falls	2000	33%	44%	23%	0%	0%
Bethlehem	1999	11%	56%	33%	0%	0%
Cheshire	1999	9%	44%	29%	13%	6%
Derby	2000	24%	63%	13%	0%	0%
Middlebury	2000	4%	41%	35%	13%	8%
Naugatuck	2000	33%	63%	4%	0%	0%
Oxford	2000	4%	32%	46%	16%	2%
Prospect	2000	7%	51%	35%	7%	0%
Seymour	2000	23%	58%	14%	5%	0%
Shelton	2000	12%	37%	31%	13%	8%
Southbury	2000	19%	34%	20%	14%	12%
Thomaston	1999	34%	61%	5%	0%	0%
Waterbury	2000	67%	32%	1%	0%	0%
Watertown	1999	18%	65%	15%	1%	0%
Wolcott	2000	13%	67%	17%	1%	2%
Woodbury	1999	31%	22%	28%	13%	5%

## Grand List in Middlebury

Middlebury's gross grand list was more than \$520 million in 2000. Middlebury's gross grand list ranked 13<sup>th</sup> out of the 17 NVC towns in 2000.

Middlebury's equalized grand list exceeded \$836 million in 2000 whereas the NVC's equalized grand list was nearly \$24 billion and the state's equalized grand list was more than \$296 billion in 2000.

Middlebury possessed the 12<sup>th</sup> highest equalized grand list of the 17 towns in the NVC in 2000.

Middlebury's equalized net grand list was more than \$913 million in 2000.<sup>2</sup> The NVC's equalized new grand list was more than \$28 billion whereas the state's equalized net grand list was more than \$360 billion in 2000.

Middlebury possessed the 12<sup>th</sup> highest equalized net grand list of the 17 towns in the NVC in 2000.

Middlebury's equalized grand list per capita was \$129,632 in 2000. The NVC's equalized grand list per capita was \$67,151 whereas the state's equalized grand list per capita was \$87,799 in 2000. Middlebury possessed the highest equalized grand list per capita of the 17 towns in the NVC in 2000.

Middlebury's equalized net grand list per capita was \$141,671 in 2000. The NVC's equalized net grand list per capita was \$78,914 whereas the state's equalized net grand list per capita was \$105,799 in 2000. Middlebury possessed the second highest equalized net grand list per capita of the 17 towns in the NVC in 2000.

	Population	Per Capita				
		Equalized Grand List	Equalized Net Grand List	Net Grand List	Actual Grand List	Gross Grand List
<b>Connecticut</b>	<b>3,405,565</b>	<b>\$87,052</b>	<b>\$105,799</b>	<b>\$56,696</b>	<b>\$56,949</b>	<b>\$60,974</b>
<b>NVC</b>	<b>357,094</b>	<b>\$67,151</b>	<b>\$78,914</b>	<b>\$40,635</b>	<b>\$39,894</b>	<b>\$44,226</b>
Ansonia	18,554	\$46,877	\$54,089	\$32,244	\$32,714	\$33,838
Beacon Falls	5,246	\$68,504	\$84,791	\$43,373	\$43,047	\$46,789
Bethlehem	3,422	\$92,325	\$111,637	\$72,158	\$64,020	\$66,606
Cheshire	28,543	\$86,363	\$106,085	\$60,352	\$61,339	\$63,510
Derby	12,391	\$54,054	\$59,644	\$37,501	\$37,609	\$42,118
Middlebury	6,451	\$129,632	\$141,671	\$74,952	\$76,218	\$80,645
Naugatuck	30,989	\$51,830	\$54,345	\$20,472	\$19,156	\$38,201
Oxford	9,821	\$89,621	\$96,109	\$48,904	\$51,191	\$67,449
Prospect	8,707	\$73,812	\$80,240	\$44,217	\$45,297	\$56,371
Seymour	15,454	\$63,862	\$77,517	\$42,265	\$41,959	\$44,949
Shelton	38,101	\$103,176	\$123,917	\$61,892	\$63,318	\$66,331
Southbury	18,567	\$123,531	\$149,083	\$77,906	\$77,906	\$79,643
Thomaston	7,503	\$68,006	\$82,361	\$52,811	\$47,020	\$51,983
Waterbury	107,271	\$38,606	\$44,368	\$15,949	\$15,382	\$16,644
Watertown	21,661	\$74,503	\$87,898	\$59,913	\$52,318	\$56,094
Wolcott	15,215	\$66,159	\$78,896	\$45,039	\$45,366	\$47,634
Woodbury	9,198	\$92,448	\$127,503	\$78,188	\$71,860	\$74,341
<b>Ranking Per Capita Basis, 2000</b>						

<sup>2</sup> The net grand list is net of nontaxable properties such as churches and schools. The Connecticut Office of Policy and Management (OPM) equalizes grand lists by providing an estimate for a grand list value for all 169 towns in Connecticut. It takes into account each town's revaluation date and information on real estate sales to estimate the current year grand list. This figure differs from the real grand list value, which is the sum of all types of grand list except for vehicles and personal property.

Measures of Grand List, 2000			
Region	Equalized Grand List	Equalized Net Grand List	Gross Grand List
<b>Connecticut</b>	<b>\$296,460,380,316</b>	<b>\$360,306,777,800</b>	<b>\$207,650,055,212</b>
<b>NVC</b>	<b>\$23,979,179,800</b>	<b>\$28,179,854,363</b>	<b>\$15,792,838,436</b>
Ansonia	\$869,752,600	\$1,003,575,399	\$627,836,155
Beacon Falls	\$359,373,300	\$444,814,961	\$245,455,000
Bethlehem	\$315,935,700	\$382,020,291	\$227,927,146
Cheshire	\$2,465,059,000	\$3,027,995,269	\$1,812,758,141
Derby	\$669,782,900	\$739,051,420	\$521,887,751
Middlebury	\$836,257,700	\$913,922,661	\$520,239,757
Naugatuck	\$1,606,174,000	\$1,684,096,357	\$1,183,810,720
Oxford	\$880,164,700	\$943,883,283	\$662,412,110
Prospect	\$642,678,000	\$698,649,980	\$490,822,966
Seymour	\$986,927,300	\$1,197,949,985	\$694,637,808
Shelton	\$3,931,122,000	\$4,721,380,531	\$2,527,289,860
Southbury	\$2,293,609,000	\$2,768,025,898	\$1,478,727,168
Thomaston	\$510,246,800	\$617,955,940	\$390,031,053
Waterbury	\$4,141,340,000	\$4,759,379,739	\$1,785,423,001
Watertown	\$1,613,814,000	\$1,903,968,963	\$1,215,042,988
Wolcott	\$1,006,604,000	\$1,200,409,250	\$724,748,952
Woodbury	\$850,338,800	\$1,172,774,436	\$683,787,860

Source: CT Office of Personal Management

Middlebury's residential grand list constituted 66 percent of the gross grand list; the commercial grand list accounted for 6 percent of the gross grand list; the industrial grand list comprised 8 percent of the gross grand list; public utilities' grand list made up 0 percent of the gross grand list; apartments constituted 0 percent of the gross grand list; vehicles made up 10 percent of the gross grand list; and personal property accounted for 7 percent of Middlebury's gross grand list.

The residential grand list constituted 63 percent of the NVC's total gross grand list; the commercial grand list accounted for 9 percent of the gross grand list; the industrial grand list comprised 4 percent the gross grand list; public utilities' grand list made up 0 percent of the gross grand list; apartments constituted 2 percent of the grand list; vehicles made up 11 percent of the gross grand list; and personal property accounted for 9 percent of NVC's gross grand list.

The residential grand list constituted 64 percent of the Connecticut's gross grand list; the commercial grand list accounted for 12 percent of the gross grand list; the industrial grand list comprised 3 percent the gross grand list; public utilities' grand list made up 1 percent of the gross grand list; apartments constituted 2 percent of the grand list; vehicles made up 9 percent of the gross grand list; and personal property accounted for 8 percent of Connecticut's gross grand list.

Component Shares of Grand List by Type, 2000										
Region	Residential Grand List	Commercial Grand List	Industrial Grand List	Public Utility Grand List	Other Grand List	Total Real Grand List	Apartments Grand List	Other Grand List	Vehicle Grand List	Personal Property Grand List
Connecticut	64%	12%	3%	1%	2%	83%	2%	2%	9%	8%
NVC	63%	9%	4%	0%	2%	80%	2%	2%	11%	9%
Ansonia	68%	8%	3%	0%	1%	81%	2%	1%	12%	7%
Beacon Falls	67%	4%	7%	0%	3%	81%	0%	3%	12%	7%
Bethlehem	80%	3%	1%	0%	3%	87%	0%	3%	11%	2%
Cheshire	68%	7%	7%	0%	1%	84%	1%	1%	10%	6%
Derby	66%	11%	2%	0%	2%	83%	2%	2%	10%	7%
Middlebury	66%	6%	8%	0%	2%	83%	0%	2%	10%	7%
Naugatuck	65%	7%	4%	1%	1%	81%	2%	1%	11%	9%
Oxford	79%	3%	3%	0%	2%	87%	0%	2%	10%	4%
Prospect	76%	5%	2%	0%	1%	84%	0%	1%	12%	4%
Seymour	68%	6%	4%	0%	3%	81%	1%	3%	11%	8%
Shelton	60%	12%	4%	1%	1%	79%	1%	1%	9%	12%
Southbury	64%	14%	1%	0%	1%	82%	2%	1%	8%	10%
Thomaston	57%	7%	6%	0%	3%	74%	1%	3%	11%	15%
Waterbury	36%	17%	6%	0%	1%	65%	5%	1%	16%	19%
Watertown	62%	8%	4%	0%	3%	78%	1%	3%	11%	11%
Wolcott	70%	5%	3%	0%	4%	83%	0%	4%	13%	4%
Woodbury	75%	8%	1%	0%	2%	88%	2%	2%	10%	2%

### Government Revenue in Middlebury

Middlebury's government collected more than \$15 million in total revenue in 2000. Middlebury's tax revenues of more than \$14 million constituted 94 percent of the city's total revenue. Middlebury's percentage of tax revenues as percentage of the total revenues was highest among NVC towns in 2000. In the NVC, tax revenues accounted for 61 percent of region's entire revenue whereas tax revenues constituted 65 percent of Connecticut's total revenues.

Middlebury's non-tax revenues of nearly \$1 million accounted for 6 percent of Middlebury's total revenues in 2000. In the NVC, non-tax revenues accounted for 39 percent of region's entire revenue whereas non-tax revenues constituted 35 percent of Connecticut's total revenues. Middlebury ranked last out of 17 for non-tax revenues as percentage of the total revenues among NVC towns in 2000.

Middlebury's intergovernmental revenues of \$337,000 accounted for 2 percent of Middlebury's total revenues in 2000. In the NVC, intergovernmental revenues accounted for 34 percent of region's entire revenue whereas intergovernmental revenues constituted 29 percent of Connecticut's total revenues. Middlebury ranked last out of 17 for intergovernmental revenues as percentage of the total revenues among NVC towns in 2000.

<b>Town Revenues, 2000</b>				
	<b>Revenues</b>			
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Tax</b>	<b>Non-Tax</b>	<b>Inter-Governmental</b>
<b>Connecticut</b>	<b>\$8,030,264,742</b>	<b>\$5,254,542,226</b>	<b>\$2,775,722,516</b>	<b>\$2,289,631,797</b>
<b>NVC</b>	<b>\$761,193,689</b>	<b>\$466,506,509</b>	<b>\$294,687,180</b>	<b>\$255,402,552</b>
Ansonia	\$39,039,192	\$18,784,800	\$20,254,392	\$17,151,490
Beacon Falls	\$9,568,194	\$5,733,343	\$3,834,851	\$3,436,246
Bethlehem	\$7,088,841	\$5,435,619	\$1,653,222	\$1,327,779
Cheshire	\$68,515,176	\$49,344,640	\$19,170,536	\$15,194,392
Derby	\$24,258,026	\$14,814,060	\$9,443,966	\$8,544,478
Middlebury	\$15,870,650	\$14,877,923	\$992,727	\$337,271
Naugatuck	\$70,094,665	\$33,831,748	\$36,262,917	\$31,812,180
Oxford	\$21,957,692	\$15,026,845	\$6,930,847	\$6,004,552
Prospect	\$15,677,364	\$10,754,583	\$4,922,781	\$4,505,681
Seymour	\$32,798,973	\$18,979,078	\$13,819,895	\$12,464,943
Shelton	\$72,807,501	\$57,714,602	\$15,092,899	\$10,118,835
Southbury	\$36,939,269	\$32,801,355	\$4,137,914	\$1,669,694
Thomaston	\$17,089,163	\$10,464,817	\$6,624,346	\$5,970,611
Waterbury	\$234,171,571	\$116,591,096	\$117,580,475	\$108,873,681
Watertown	\$44,301,125	\$26,923,475	\$17,377,650	\$14,652,359
Wolcott	\$34,165,480	\$19,450,883	\$14,714,597	\$12,282,574
Woodbury	\$16,850,807	\$14,977,642	\$1,873,165	\$1,055,786
Source: CT Office of Personal Management				

<b>Component Shares of Totals for Revenues, 2000</b>			
	<b>Tax</b>	<b>Non-Tax</b>	<b>Inter-Governmental</b>
<b>Connecticut</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>29%</b>
<b>NVC</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>34%</b>
Ansonia	48%	52%	44%
Beacon Falls	60%	40%	36%
Bethlehem	77%	23%	19%
Cheshire	72%	28%	22%
Derby	61%	39%	35%
Middlebury	94%	6%	2%
Naugatuck	48%	52%	45%
Oxford	68%	32%	27%
Prospect	69%	31%	29%
Seymour	58%	42%	38%
Shelton	79%	21%	14%
Southbury	89%	11%	5%
Thomaston	61%	39%	35%
Waterbury	50%	50%	46%
Watertown	61%	39%	33%
Wolcott	57%	43%	36%
Woodbury	89%	11%	6%

Middlebury collects \$2,460 per resident whereas the NVC and Connecticut take in \$2,132 and \$2,358 per person, respectively. Middlebury ranks 1 out of the 17 NVC towns for revenues for per person.

Middlebury collects \$2,306 per resident via taxes whereas the NVC and Connecticut take in \$1,306 and \$1,543 per person through taxes, respectively. Middlebury ranks 1 out of the 17 NVC towns for tax revenues for per person.

Middlebury collects \$154 per resident via non-tax revenues whereas the NVC and Connecticut take in \$825 and \$815 per person through non-tax revenues, respectively. Middlebury ranks 17 out of the 17 NVC towns for non-tax revenues for per person.

Middlebury collects \$52 per resident through intergovernmental revenue whereas the NVC and Connecticut take in \$715 and \$672 per person through intergovernmental funds, respectively. Middlebury ranks 17 out of the 17 NVC towns for intergovernmental revenues for per person.

Per Capita Town Revenues, 2000					
Region	Population	Revenues			
		Total	Tax	Non-Tax	Inter-Governmental
<b>Connecticut</b>	<b>3,405,565</b>	<b>\$2,358</b>	<b>\$1,543</b>	<b>\$815</b>	<b>\$672</b>
<b>NVC</b>	<b>357,094</b>	<b>\$2,132</b>	<b>\$1,306</b>	<b>\$825</b>	<b>\$715</b>
Ansonia	18,554	\$2,104	\$1,012	\$1,092	\$924
Beacon Falls	5,246	\$1,824	\$1,093	\$731	\$655
Bethlehem	3,422	\$2,072	\$1,588	\$483	\$388
Cheshire	28,543	\$2,400	\$1,729	\$672	\$532
Derby	12,391	\$1,958	\$1,196	\$762	\$690
Middlebury	6,451	\$2,460	\$2,306	\$154	\$52
Naugatuck	30,989	\$2,262	\$1,092	\$1,170	\$1,027
Oxford	9,821	\$2,236	\$1,530	\$706	\$611
Prospect	8,707	\$1,801	\$1,235	\$565	\$517
Seymour	15,454	\$2,122	\$1,228	\$894	\$807
Shelton	38,101	\$1,911	\$1,515	\$396	\$266
Southbury	18,567	\$1,990	\$1,767	\$223	\$90
Thomaston	7,503	\$2,278	\$1,395	\$883	\$796
Waterbury	107,271	\$2,183	\$1,087	\$1,096	\$1,015
Watertown	21,661	\$2,045	\$1,243	\$802	\$676
Wolcott	15,215	\$2,246	\$1,278	\$967	\$807
Woodbury	9,198	\$1,832	\$1,628	\$204	\$115



## Governmental Expenditures in Middlebury

Middlebury's government spent \$15.3 million in 2000. Middlebury's expenditures of nearly \$9 million on education constituted 58 percent of the city's total expenditures. In the NVC, education expenditures accounted for 56 percent of region's entire expenditures whereas education expenditures constituted 57 percent of Connecticut's total expenditures.

Middlebury's expenditures of \$1.6 million on debt service constituted 10 percent of the city's total expenditures. In the NVC, debt service expenditures accounted for 8 percent of region's entire revenue whereas debt service expenditures constituted 8 percent of Connecticut's total expenditures.

Middlebury's expenditures of more than \$4.8 million on expenditures for areas other than education and debt service constituted 32 percent of the city's total expenditures. In the NVC, expenditures for areas other than education and debt service accounted for 36 percent of region's entire revenue whereas expenditures for areas other than education and debt service constituted 35 percent of Connecticut's total expenditures.

Expenditures, 2000					Long Term Debt
	Total	Education	Debt Service	Other	
<b>Connecticut</b>	<b>\$7,684,100,723</b>	<b>\$4,364,819,118</b>	<b>\$603,912,584</b>	<b>\$2,715,369,021</b>	<b>\$4,819,741,870</b>
<b>NVC</b>	<b>\$770,196,193</b>	<b>\$429,917,184</b>	<b>\$62,611,073</b>	<b>\$277,667,936</b>	<b>\$448,313,388</b>
Ansonia	\$38,554,721	\$18,638,450	\$6,244,568	\$13,671,703	\$54,511,054
Beacon Falls	\$10,055,321	\$6,575,602	\$305,774	\$3,173,945	\$14,399,601
Bethlehem	\$6,672,768	\$5,054,792	\$0	\$1,617,976	\$3,619,949
Cheshire	\$67,424,041	\$42,635,086	\$8,629,064	\$16,159,891	\$89,382,334
Derby	\$23,664,031	\$11,619,377	\$2,321,231	\$9,723,423	\$14,672,797
Middlebury	\$15,305,928	\$8,837,754	\$1,585,774	\$4,882,400	\$12,313,417
Naugatuck	\$66,104,312	\$40,316,769	\$4,444,779	\$21,342,764	\$24,685,054
Oxford	\$21,926,750	\$14,066,472	\$1,751,032	\$6,109,246	\$14,916,000
Prospect	\$16,105,715	\$10,720,859	\$1,512,613	\$3,872,243	\$25,887,373
Seymour	\$33,840,887	\$21,919,576	\$3,089,491	\$8,831,820	\$21,625,686
Shelton	\$71,583,648	\$45,108,946	\$4,693,030	\$21,781,672	\$10,430,000
Southbury	\$34,884,976	\$25,242,543	\$61,600	\$9,580,833	\$14,407,290
Thomaston	\$16,144,419	\$9,747,678	\$1,802,745	\$4,593,996	\$12,170,945
Waterbury	\$253,102,939	\$108,102,355	\$19,647,188	\$125,353,396	\$77,783,461
Watertown	\$43,825,616	\$26,940,265	\$4,287,120	\$12,598,231	\$30,331,725
Wolcott	\$34,016,629	\$22,867,038	\$2,180,724	\$8,968,867	\$18,874,751
Woodbury	\$16,983,492	\$11,523,622	\$54,340	\$5,405,530	\$8,301,951

Source: CT Office of Personal Management

Middlebury spends \$2,373 per resident whereas the NVC and Connecticut spend \$2,157 and \$2,256 per person, respectively. Middlebury ranks 1 out of the 17 NVC towns for per resident spending.

Middlebury spends \$1,370 per resident for education whereas the NVC and Connecticut spend \$1,204 and \$1,202 per person for education, respectively. Middlebury ranks 6 out of the 17 NVC towns for per resident spending on education.

Middlebury spends \$246 per resident for debt service whereas the NVC and Connecticut spend \$175 and \$177 per person for debt service, respectively. Middlebury ranks 3 out of the 17 NVC towns for per resident spending on debt service.

Middlebury spends \$757 per resident for areas other than education and debt service whereas the NVC and Connecticut spend \$778 and \$797 per person for areas other than education and debt service, respectively. Middlebury ranks third out of the 17 NVC towns for per resident spending on areas other than education and debt service.

Per Capita Town Expenditures Budgets					
Region	Expenditures				Long Term Debt per Capita
	Total	Education	Debt Service	Other	
Connecticut	\$2,256	\$1,282	\$177	\$797	\$1,415
NVC	\$2,157	\$1,204	\$175	\$778	\$1,255
Beacon Falls	\$2,078	\$1,005	\$337	\$737	\$2,938
Beacon Falls	\$1,917	\$1,253	\$58	\$605	\$2,745
Bethlehem	\$1,950	\$1,477	\$0	\$473	\$1,058
Cheshire	\$2,362	\$1,494	\$302	\$566	\$3,131
Derby	\$1,910	\$938	\$187	\$785	\$1,184
Middlebury	\$2,373	\$1,370	\$246	\$757	\$1,909
Naugatuck	\$2,133	\$1,301	\$143	\$689	\$797
Oxford	\$2,233	\$1,432	\$178	\$622	\$1,519
Prospect	\$1,850	\$1,231	\$174	\$445	\$2,973
Seymour	\$2,190	\$1,418	\$200	\$571	\$1,399
Shelton	\$1,879	\$1,184	\$123	\$572	\$274
Southbury	\$1,879	\$1,360	\$3	\$516	\$776
Thomaston	\$2,152	\$1,299	\$240	\$612	\$1,622
Waterbury	\$2,359	\$1,008	\$183	\$1,169	\$725
Watertown	\$2,023	\$1,244	\$198	\$582	\$1,400
Wolcott	\$2,236	\$1,503	\$143	\$589	\$1,241
Woodbury	\$1,846	\$1,253	\$6	\$588	\$903

Component Shares of Expenditures, 2000			
	Education	Debt Service	Other
Connecticut	57%	8%	35%
NVC	56%	8%	36%
Beacon Falls	48%	16%	35%
Beacon Falls	65%	3%	32%
Bethlehem	76%	0%	24%
Cheshire	63%	13%	24%
Derby	49%	10%	41%
Middlebury	58%	10%	32%
Naugatuck	61%	7%	32%
Oxford	64%	8%	28%
Prospect	67%	9%	24%
Seymour	65%	9%	26%
Shelton	63%	7%	30%
Southbury	72%	0%	27%
Thomaston	60%	11%	28%
Waterbury	43%	8%	50%
Watertown	61%	10%	29%
Wolcott	67%	6%	26%
Woodbury	68%	0%	32%

## **Occupations in Middlebury**

In 1997, 54 percent of Middlebury's 5,120 workers were employed in manufacturing, 22 percent of the 151,104 workers in the NVC were employed in manufacturing, and 17 percent of the 1.8 million workers in Connecticut held jobs in this field. See Chart #1.

In 1997, the percentage of workers employed in finance, real estate, or insurance (FIRE) was 3 percent in Middlebury, 7 percent in the NVC, and 10 percent in the state.

Also in 1997, service jobs accounted for 24 percent of the jobs in Middlebury and 35 percent of the jobs in the NVC and Connecticut.

In 1997, workers in Middlebury also held employment in wholesale and retail trade (11 percent), construction and mining (5 percent), transportation, communications, and utilities (2 percent), and government and public administration (1 percent). NVC employees worked in wholesale and retail trade (19 percent), construction and mining (7 percent), transportation, communications, and utilities (4 percent), government and public administration (6 percent) in 1997. In Connecticut in 1997, workers held employment in wholesale and retail trade (20 percent), construction and mining (6 percent), transportation, communications, and utilities (5 percent), and government and public administration (6 percent).

In 2001, 17 percent of the Middlebury's 3,433 employees worked in manufacturing, 20 percent of the 151,387 workers in the NVC were employed in manufacturing, and 15 percent of the 1.76 million workers in Connecticut held jobs in this field. See Chart #2.

In 2001, the percentage of workers employed in finance, real estate, or insurance (FIRE) was 5 percent in Middlebury, 5 percent in the NVC, and 7 percent in the state. Also in 2001, service jobs accounted for 50 percent of the jobs in Middlebury, 37 percent of the jobs in the NVC, and 38 percent of the jobs in Connecticut.

In 2001, workers in Middlebury also held employment in wholesale and retail trade (16 percent), construction and mining (6 percent), transportation, communications, and utilities (3 percent), and government and public administration (2 percent). NVC employees worked in wholesale and retail trade (23 percent), construction and mining (5 percent), transportation, communications, and utilities (3 percent), government and public administration (6 percent) in 2001. In Connecticut in 2001, workers held employment in wholesale and retail trade (22 percent), construction and mining (5 percent), transportation, communications, and utilities (5 percent), and government and public administration (8 percent). See Chart #3.

From 1997 to 2001, Middlebury lost 1,687 workers from 1997 to 2001 whereas the NVC gained 283 workers and Connecticut lost 42,725 workers. See Chart #4. The percentage of workers in Middlebury decreased by 33 percent from 1997 to 2001 whereas the NVC experienced no increase and Connecticut lost 2 percent of its workers during this period. See Chart #5.

From 1997 to 2001, manufacturing jobs decreased by 79 percent in Middlebury whereas they decreased by seven percent in the NVC (-2,419 workers) and by 11 percent in Connecticut (-33,801 workers).

The percentage of FIRE workers increased by 16 percent in Middlebury from 1997 to 2001. The percentage of employees in FIRE industries decreased by 30 percent (-3,244) in the NVC from 1997 to 2001 whereas it decreased by 37 percent (-70,010 workers) in Connecticut during this time frame.

In the period from 1997 to 2001, the percentage of employees in service-related positions increased in Middlebury by 41 percent while it increased in the NVC by 7 percent (3,571) and in Connecticut by 4 percent (23,044).

Construction and mining jobs decreased by 7 percent in Middlebury from 1997 to 2001 whereas they decreased by 18 percent in the NVC and by 15 percent in Connecticut during this period. Transportation, communications, and utilities positions increased by 20 percent in Middlebury from 1997 to 2001 whereas they decreased by 17 percent in the NVC and by 6 percent in Connecticut during this period. Wholesale and retail jobs decreased by 7 percent in Middlebury from 1997 to 2001 whereas they increased by 20 percent in the NVC and 9 percent in Connecticut during this period. See Chart #6.

### **Business Count**

In 1997, 373 businesses called Middlebury home. See Chart #7. In 1997, agricultural-related businesses constituted 2 percent of the businesses in Middlebury and 2 percent of the businesses in the NVC and the state. Construction and mining made up 16 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 18 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 16 percent of the businesses in Connecticut in 1997. In 1997, manufacturing-related businesses constituted 5 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 7 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 6 percent of businesses in the state. Transportation, communications, and utility businesses made up 2 percent of the businesses in Middlebury and 3 percent of the businesses in the NVC and Connecticut in 1997. Wholesale and retail trade businesses constituted 21 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 24 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 23 percent of the businesses in Connecticut in 1997. FIRE businesses made up 9 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 7 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 8 percent of the businesses in Connecticut in 1997. See Chart #8.

In 1997, the service industry comprised 45 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 39 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 40 percent of businesses in the state. Government and public administration-related businesses made up 1 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, the NVC, and Connecticut in 1997.

In 2001, 397 businesses called Middlebury home. In 2001, agricultural-related businesses constituted 3 percent of the businesses in Middlebury and 3 percent of the businesses in the NVC and the state. Construction and mining made up 13 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 15 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 13 percent of the businesses in Connecticut in 2001. See Chart #9.

In 2001, manufacturing-related businesses constituted 3 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 7 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 6 percent of businesses in the state. Transportation, communications, and utility businesses made up 2 percent of the businesses in Middlebury and 3 percent of the businesses in the NVC and Connecticut in 2001. Wholesale and retail trade businesses constituted 21 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 25 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 24 percent of the businesses in Connecticut in 2001. FIRE businesses made up 10 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 7 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 8 percent of the businesses in Connecticut in 2001.

In 2001, the service industry comprised 47 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, 39 percent of the businesses in the NVC, and 43 percent of businesses in the state. Government and public administration-related businesses made up 1 percent of the businesses in Middlebury, the NVC, and Connecticut in 2001. See Chart #10.

From 1997 to 2001, the total number of businesses increased by 1 percent in the NVC but Connecticut experienced no increases in the number of businesses during this four-year span. In the same period, the total number of businesses increased by 6 percent in Middlebury.

The percentage of agricultural-related businesses increased by 38 percent in Middlebury but increased by 27 percent in the NVC and 22 percent in Connecticut from 1997 to 2001.

The percentage of construction and mining businesses decreased by 9 percent in Middlebury and by 15 percent in the NVC and 19 percent in Connecticut from 1997 to 2001.

The percentage of manufacturing businesses decreased by 32 percent in Middlebury, increased by 1 percent in the NVC, and experienced no gain in Connecticut from 1997 to 2001.

Transportation, communication and utilities businesses increased by 14 percent in Middlebury and increased by 9 percent in the NVC and 1 percent in Connecticut from 1997 to 2001.


Wholesale and trade-related businesses increased by 6 percent in Middlebury, 6 percent in the NVC, and 2 percent in Connecticut from 1997 to 2001.

The percentage of FIRE businesses increased by 15 percent in Middlebury, rose by 4 percent in the NVC, and decreased by 3 percent in Connecticut from 1997 to 2001.

Service-related businesses increased by 12 percent in Middlebury, 3 percent in the NVC, and 5 percent in Connecticut from 1997 to 2001. See Chart #11.

Government and public administration-related businesses experienced a 33 percent increase percent in Middlebury, a 1 percent decrease in the NVC, and a 2 percent decrease in Connecticut from 1997 to 2001.

# Percent Change in Employment by Industries 1997/2001



Source: Dunn and Bradstreet